

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/669,155	09/23/2003	Martin A. Cohen	884.0207USU	1663	
7590 01/13/2006		EXAMINER			
Charles N.J. Ruggiero, Esq.			JEFFERY, JOHN A		
	ey, Ruggiero & Perle, L.L.I Square, 10th Floor	Р.	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Stamford, CT			3742		
			DATE MAILED: 01/13/2000	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<u></u>		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary		10/669,155	COHEN ET AL.	
		Examiner	Art Unit	<u> </u>
		John A. Jeffery	3742	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication a		the correspondence address	
A SH WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING I nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. I period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA .136(a). In no event, however, may a repl d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH te, cause the application to become ABAN	ATION. y be timely filed S from the mailing date of this communication IDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
1)□ 2a)□ 3)□	Responsive to communication(s) filed on This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) The Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matter	• •	
Dispositi	on of Claims			
5)□ 6)□ 7)□ 8)⊠ <b>Applicat</b> i	Claim(s) 1-81 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdred claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) 1-81 are subject to restriction and/or is/are specification is objected to by the Examination The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acceptable and of the period of the applicant may not request that any objection to the	awn from consideration.  r election requirement.  ner.  scepted or b) objected to by		
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre		•	l).
	The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	Examiner. Note the attached (	Office Action or form PTO-152.	
12)[ a)[	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document of:  2. Certified copies of the priority document of:  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document of the priority	nts have been received. nts have been received in Apportity documents have been re au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	olication No eceived in this National Stage	
2) Notic 3) Inform	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 r No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/ľ	nmary (PTO-413) Mail Date rmal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-22, drawn to a controller and user interface for a fabric grooming device, classified in class 700, subclass 130.
- II. Claims 23-56, drawn to a method for operating a fabric grooming device, classified in class 26, subclass 1.
- III. Claims 57-78, drawn to an electric iron, classified in class 219, subclass 248.
- IV. Claims 79-81, drawn to a method of controlling an electric iron, classified in class 38, subclass 82.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case, the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process such as a variety of fabric grooming device operation methods, not necessarily activating and deactivating the grooming device by pressing a predefined input selector for predefined periods of time as claimed in the broadest method claim.

Page 3

Art Unit: 3742

Inventions I and III are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the controller recited in the broadest combination claim does not require the details of the controller/user interface recited in the independent subcombination claims. The subcombination has separate utility such as implementation in a variety of fabric grooming devices, not necessarily limited to electric clothes irons (e.g., fabric brushing and combing devices, fabric cutting devices, etc.).

Inventions I and IV are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case, the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process such as controlling a variety of fabric grooming devices, not necessarily limited to electric clothes irons (e.g., fabric brushing and combing devices, fabric cutting devices, etc.).

Inventions II and III are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as

Art Unit: 3742

claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case, the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process such as activating the iron by a variety of different methods, not necessarily pressing a predefined input selector for a predefined time period (e.g., automatically energizing the iron responsive to detecting a certain orientation of the apparatus, the proximity of the device to the fabric, etc.).

Inventions II and IV are directed to related processes. The related inventions are distinct if the inventions as claimed do not overlap in scope, i.e., are mutually exclusive; the inventions as claimed are not obvious variants; and the inventions as claimed are either not capable of use together or can have a materially different design, mode of operation, function, or effect. See MPEP § 806.05(i). In the instant case, the inventions as claimed do not overlap in scope since independent method claim 23 calls for, in relevant part, activating the grooming device by pressing a predefined input selector for a predefined time period. Independent claim 79, however, calls for depressing a control button to select a first predetermined heat setting. Furthermore, a method of operating a fabric grooming device with the claimed energization and deenergization control responsive to the pressing of a control button a predefined time period as claimed in claim 23 is not a mere obvious variant from a heat setting selection and display method of claim 79. Moreover, the methods recited in independent claims 23 and 79 have completely different modes of operation and effects (i.e., energizing the device and selecting/displaying a heat setting).

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

## Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John A. Jeffery whose telephone number is (571) 272-4781. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday - Friday from 7:00 AM to 5:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robin Evans, can be reached on (571) 272-4777. All faxes should be sent to the centralized fax number at (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

Art Unit: 3742

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

JOHN A. JEFFERY PRIMARY EXAMINER

1/10/06